

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARES Washington DC 20231 www.iispto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09'575,349 | 05 19 2000 | Michael J. D'Elia | AMDA.474PA | 1541 |
| 75 | 01 16 2002 | | | |
| Crawford PLLC 1270 Northland Drive Suite 390 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PERALTA, GINETTE | |
| St Paul, MN 55120 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2814 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/16/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | <i>j</i> ² | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/575,349 | D'ELIA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Ginette Peralta | 2814 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sneet wi | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adequates the property of t | .136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te. cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) 🖾 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 | October 2001 . | | | | | |
| 2a) □ | • | his action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-30</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| , | The specification is objected to by the Examin | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| <i>′</i> — | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xamıner. | | | | | |
| • | ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | 2.440(-).(1)(0 | | | | |
| Ī | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | gn prionty under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (t). | | | | |
| a) | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documer | | antination Ala | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documer | | | | | | |
| * 5 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | Sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| |) The translation of the foreign language parking translation of the foreign language parking to the foreign language parking to the foreign language parking to the foreign language parking the foreign language parking the foreign language parking language par | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | _ | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of I | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| S Patent and T | rademark Office | | | | | | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/575,349

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 19-20 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claim 18 is a linking claim to "means" for practicing the process. This is not found persuasive because the claims are directed to two separate inventions, a method of depositing a coating, and an apparatus used in a deposition process. In accordance with the MPEP, claim 18 is a claim to "means" of using the apparatus in a process, and has been determined to be a linking claim, thus the linking claim will be examined with the elected invention. The remainder of the claims are withdrawn from further consideration pending the examination of the elected claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/575,349

Art Unit: 2814

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 18-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeng et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,710,073) in view of Bartholomew et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,143,080).

Jeng et al. teaches a method for forming a coating on a surface that comprises supplying a gas to a chamber, and using the supplied gas in combination with selected reactants and depositing a coating on the wafer, wherein the gas includes ammonia and dichlorosilane, wherein depositing a coating on the wafer includes depositing an anti-reflective coating (ARC) having uniform optical properties, wherein the ARC has a k value between 0.3 and 0.5, and the method further includes performing photolithography using the ARC.

Jeng et al. teaches all the limitations in the claims with the exception of controlling the introduction of the gases through a sensor or detector.

Bartholomew et al. teaches a method that comprises adjusting a gas injector in a CVD arrangement by providing at least one gas concentration detector in the CVD arrangement in the form of a sensor that measures the flow characteristics of at least one of the gases in the gas flow path (Col. 4, Il. 48-55), and in response, to the detected characteristic, the gas injector is adjusted, wherein the detector is removed from the CVD arrangement after detecting the concentration of the supplied gas.

Application/Control Number: 09/575,349

Art Unit: 2814

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a CVD arrangement that would control the introduction of the gases according to the concentration or other characteristics of the gases for the disclosed intended purpose of Bartholomew et al. of controlling the reaction as the flow rate of gases affect the extent and uniformity of the deposition reaction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ginette Peralta whose telephone number is (703)305-7722. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 AM- 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (703)306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

GP

January 3, 2002

Mchante.